Estimating the Total and Regional Body Fat of Physically Active Men Is Not Appropriate for Sedentary Men
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Abstract

Objectives. The reliability of predictive body fat equations remains unclear due to their inappropriate use across different subject cohorts and conditions. The objective of this study was to validate and cross-validate equations to predict total and regional body fat in young physically active males.

Materials and methods. Three hundred and five young male participants were divided into the following groups: active validation (n = 165), active cross-validation (n = 70), or sedentary cross-validation ones (n = 70). The study used a stratified random sampling based on weekly physical activity level. The total and regional body fat mass were measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) after an overnight fast. Simultaneous measurements of height, body mass, skinfold thickness, body mass index, and body circumferences were taken. The total and regional body fat predictive equations were generated using multiple linear stepwise regression models. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and standard error of estimation (SEE) were calculated to examine the accuracy of the predictive equations. Furthermore, cross-validation groups were analysed.

Results. The percentage of total body fat, trunk fat, legs fat, arms fat, and body mass index of active cross-validation were found to be significantly lower than in the sedentary cross-validation groups. The total body fat percentage was highly associated with abdominal skinfold thickness ($r = 0.68-0.74$, $p < 0.001$), body mass index ($r = 0.55$, $p < 0.001$), and suprailiac skinfold thickness ($r = 0.67-0.71$, $p < 0.001$) in the active validation group. The predictive total and regional body fat equations of physically active men showed adjusted $R^2$ values ranging from 0.35 to 0.66, with standard error of estimation values between 2.74 to 4.35%. The standard error of estimation for the predictive percentage of total and regional body fat in the active cross-validation group was lower than in the sedentary cross-validation group.

Conclusions. The findings demonstrate that new predictive total and regional body fat equations can be used to accurately estimate body fat in healthy young active males under fast conditions.
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Introduction

The body fat associated with cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, hypertension, and physical fitness (Chun, Suh, Byun, Park, & Shim, 2015; Ortega, Lavie, & Blair, 2016; Takeoka et al., 2016). Therefore, assessing body fat for monitoring and preventing health problems is essential. However, using an accurate instrument to measure body fat in the field can be expensive and inconvenient. Previous studies have shown that predictive equations for estimating body fat in Western and Asian men have been developed (Ball, Cowan, Thyfault, & LaFontaine, 2014; Leahy, O’Neill, Sohun, Toomey, & Jakeman, 2013; Liu et al., 2015). It should be noted that most of the previous studies developed predictive total body fat equations. It has been reported that trunk fat mass is associated with cardiovascular diseases, arterial stiffness, and insulin resistance in men (Ganpule-Rao et al., 2013; Kouda et al., 2021; M. Lee et al., 2012). Therefore, it is important to assess not only total body fat but also regional fat mass. However, only a few studies developed predictive regional body fat equations from Caucasian men (Ritchie & Davidson, 2007; Scafoglieri et al., 2013). The validation of the predictive total and regional body fat equations developed
from Western and Asian men applied to Thai men is still unclear and needs to be examined. Conversely, equations for estimating total and regional body fat need to be developed and cross-validated for specific populations.

They correlate the percentage of body fat with ethnic differences (Davidson et al., 2011; Jensen et al., 2019; Stults-Kolehmainen et al., 2013), as demonstrated by its under-estimation and over-estimation when validating predictive body fat equations between Western and Asian men (Davidson et al., 2011; Hastuti, Kagawa, Byrne, & Hills, 2013). The predictive body fat equation developed from the Western population might not be appropriate for application to the Asian population. It has been demonstrated that body fat values are not consistent between young, middle-aged, and older-aged males (Coin et al., 2012; Ihasz, Finn, Lepes, Halasi, & Szabo, 2015; Larsson et al., 2015). Previous studies have shown that lower body fat was observed by active men compared to sedentary counterparts (Kyle, Morabia, Schutz, & Pichard, 2004; Scheers, Philippaerts, & Lefevre, 2013). Additionally, there is a relationship between sedentary time and visceral fat in men (Henson et al., 2015). Therefore, the predictive body fat equation developed by active men might not be suitable to apply to sedentary men. Additionally, the amount of food and water consumed before the assessment of body fat contributed to varying fat mass in different methods (Kerr, Slater, & Byrne, 2017). Consequently, the validity of predictive total and regional body fat equations might have been associated with participants’ fasted or non-fasted states.

It has been demonstrated that body fat mass is associated with differences in ethnicity, age, food intake, and physical activity level (Coin et al., 2012; Jensen et al., 2019; Scheers et al., 2013). However, many previous studies have derived body fat equations using participants from across a range of ages, without providing food consumption status, and/or classification of the physical activity level (Leahy et al., 2013; D. H. Lee et al., 2017; Pongchaiyakul et al., 2005). Therefore, non-specific predictive body fat equations, which have been developed from the general male population, may be inaccurate in estimating body fat under certain conditions. Consequently, specific predictive total and regional body fat equations that represent males of a particular ethnicity, age range, and physical activity level, who have assessments taken in a fasted state, are required to provide a better estimation of total body and regional fat mass. Consequently, the objective of the present study was to validate and cross-validate predicted total body and regional fat equations of active young Thai males under fasted conditions.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Three hundred and five young Thai male participants provided their written informed consent to take part in this study, which was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee. To separate the participants into sedentary and active groups, the participants were asked to complete PAR-Q (Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire) and physical activity questionnaires. In the active group, participants performed regular physical activity for a duration of greater than 50 minutes and greater than 3 times per week. In the sedentary group, participants performed physical activity for less than 30 minutes and less than 3 times per week. The participants’ resting heart rate (Omron, Japan) and blood pressure (Omron, Japan) were also measured.

Study Design

They randomly divided participants into three groups; validation, cross-validation with an active group, and cross-validation with a sedentary group. Anthropometry and body fat mass were assessed after an overnight fast. Estimated total and regional fat mass equations were developed. The difference in fat mass between the predictive equations and the reference method was analyzed in the sedentary and active groups.

Assessment of the Reference Method

They performed a total body scan after an overnight fast using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA, Hologic Inc, United States) as the reference method. The procedure of the total body scan followed the manufacturers’ guidelines. The total percentage of fat mass, and the regional fat mass of the trunk, arms, and legs, were recorded and analyzed.

Assessment of Anthropometry

Anthropometry measurements included height, body mass, and body circumferences, which were recorded after an overnight fast. In addition, the skinfold thickness of the biceps, triceps, vertical abdominal, transverse abdominal, suprailiac, chest, subscapular, mid-thigh, and medial-calf (Lange skinfold caliper, United States), were taken on both sides of the body (Lohman, Roche, & Martorell, 1991). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the ratio between body mass in kilograms and height in square meters. Chest, waist, abdominal, hip, arm, forearm, upper thigh, and calf circumferences were measured using a measuring tape (Swain et al., 2014). Waist and hip measurements were taken at the narrowest part of the waist, and the widest part of the hip, respectively, and the waist-to-hip ratio was subsequently determined.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The sample size was calculated using multiple regression analysis (Dupont & Plummer, 1998). Based on a previous study (Ohta et al., 2017), the population of the regression equation was 150 men to provide an R² value between 0.867 to 0.932, and the standard error of estimation (SEE) between 0.18 and 1.44 kg (5.9-8.7%). The cross-validation sample size was calculated using a Bland-Altman plot (Bland & Altman, 2010) to determine the difference between the validation and cross-validation groups.

Paired t-tests were used to analyze differences between groups, with the relationship between parameters, were examined using the Pearson product-moment test. They analyzed the accuracy of the predictive body fat equations using the correlation coefficient (R²) and the standard error
of estimation (SEE). They developed predictive equations of total and regional body fat mass using multiple linear stepwise regression models, derived from (1) body mass, skinfold thickness, and circumferences, and (2) body mass index and circumferences, respectively. The standard error of estimation was used to analyze the difference in fat mass between the predictive equations and the reference method. The waist and hip ratio was calculated from body circumferences. Data were presented as means ± standard deviation and the α level of statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

Results

Participant Characteristics

The participants' age, body mass, height, body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, resting heart rate, resting blood pressure, and percentage of body fat, are shown in Table 1. There were no statistical differences in age, height, or blood pressure between the three groups (p > 0.05). The resting heart rate, body mass, body mass index, the percentage of total body fat, legs fat, and arms fat in the sedentary group were significantly higher compared to the active group (p < 0.05). There were no significant differences in age, height, waist-to-hip ratio, and blood pressure between the active and sedentary groups (p > 0.05; Table 1).

Relationship Between Variables

Total body fat mass was significantly correlated with body mass index, body mass, abdominal circumference, waist circumference, transverse abdominal skinfold thickness, vertical abdominal skinfold thickness, left suprailiac skinfold thickness, and suprailiac skinfold thickness (p < 0.001; Table 2).

The highly correlated total percentage of body fat with body mass index, body mass, waist circumference, abdominal circumference, transverse abdominal skinfold thickness, suprailiac skinfold thickness, and vertical abdominal skinfold thickness (p < 0.001; Table 2).

Predictive Body Fat Equations

Multiple linear stepwise regression revealed the predictive equations of percent body fat derived from; (1) body mass, body mass index, and circumferences,

Table 1. Participant characteristics of validation active group, cross-validation active and sedentary groups (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Validation active group (n=165)</th>
<th>Cross-validation active group (n=70)</th>
<th>Cross-validation sedentary group (n=70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>20.00 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19.00 ± 1.09</td>
<td>20.00 ± 1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>66.00 ± 8.51</td>
<td>62.00 ± 7.63</td>
<td>70.00 ± 9.53*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>173.00 ± 6.17</td>
<td>164.00 ± 5.31</td>
<td>173.00 ± 5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.00 ± 2.30</td>
<td>21.00 ± 2.36</td>
<td>23.00 ± 2.46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist / Hip ratio</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>115.00 ± 16.21</td>
<td>114.00 ± 13.92</td>
<td>114.00 ± 19.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>71.00 ± 15.09</td>
<td>71.00 ± 13.96</td>
<td>75.00 ± 14.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (beat/min)</td>
<td>68.00 ± 12.00</td>
<td>66.00 ± 12.13</td>
<td>71.00 ± 10.77*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body fat (%)</td>
<td>15.00 ± 4.88</td>
<td>15.00 ± 5.25</td>
<td>24.00 ± 6.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk fat (%)</td>
<td>18.00 ± 5.94</td>
<td>18.00 ± 6.43</td>
<td>29.00 ± 7.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right leg fat (%)</td>
<td>15.00 ± 4.86</td>
<td>14.00 ± 5.09</td>
<td>23.00 ± 5.95*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left leg fat (%)</td>
<td>15.00 ± 4.86</td>
<td>14.00 ± 5.07</td>
<td>23.00 ± 5.95*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arm fat (%)</td>
<td>9.00 ± 3.64</td>
<td>8.00 ± 3.96</td>
<td>16.00 ± 6.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left arm fat (%)</td>
<td>9.00 ± 3.66</td>
<td>8.00 ± 3.95</td>
<td>16.00 ± 6.10*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant difference between cross-validation active and sedentary groups (p < 0.05)
and (2) skinfold thickness and circumferences. Predictive equations of percent body fat exhibited adjusted $R^2$ values ranging between 0.35 to 0.66, with standard error of estimation (SEE) ranging between 2.74 to 4.85%. The percentage of total body fat, trunk fat, legs fat, and arms fat predictive equations are shown in Table 3.

Total and trunk fat mass predictive equations revealed adjusted $R^2$ values between 0.77 and 0.78 with a standard error of estimation between 1.09 and 1.93 kg.

### Standard Error of Estimation

The standard error of estimation of equations for the percentage of total body fat in the sedentary cross-validation group (3.83-5.04%) was higher compared to the active cross-validation group (1.88 to 4.21%). In the active group, the standard error of estimation for the percentage fat equations in the active cross-validation of the trunk, legs, and arms, ranged between 2.56 to 4.21%, while in the sedentary group, the values ranged between 3.95 to 4.56%. The standard error of estimation for the percentage of total body fat, trunk fat, leg fat, and arm fat are presented in Table 3.

The standard error of estimation in the active cross-validation group for total and trunk fat mass in the sedentary group (1.67-3.84 kg) was lower compared to the active cross-validation group (2.09-3.82 kg).

### Table 3. The predictive equations for the percentage of total body fat, trunk, legs, and arms are derived from skinfold thickness (SF), body mass index (BMI), and circumferences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%Fat</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>SEE1</th>
<th>SEE2</th>
<th>SEE3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$(0.313 \times \text{Left transverse abdominal SF}) + (0.512 \times \text{BMI}) - (0.133 \times \text{Left mid-thigh SF}) + (0.160 \times \text{Rt. suprailiac SF}) + (0.133 \times \text{Left triceps SF}) - (0.198 \times \text{Right biceps SF}) - 2.817$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$(0.305 \times \text{Left transverse abdominal SF}) + (0.547 \times \text{BMI}) - (0.191 \times \text{Left mid-thigh SF}) + (0.152 \times \text{Right suprailiac SF}) + (0.103 \times \text{Left triceps SF}) - 3.519$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 1.015) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.177) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.266) - (\text{Left lower arm circumference} \times 0.854) + (\text{Waist circumference} \times 0.300) + (\text{Right upper arm circumference} \times 0.375) - 8.756$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 1.279) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.183) - (\text{Left lower arm circumference} \times 1.178) + (\text{Right upper arm circumference} \times 0.483) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.298) + (\text{Waist circumference} \times 0.392) - 11.322$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right leg</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 0.970) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.308) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.239) - 9.080$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left leg</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 0.969) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.308) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.239) - 9.093$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arm</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 0.714) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.131) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.196) - (\text{Left lower arm circumference} \times 0.608) + (\text{Waist circumference} \times 0.228) + (\text{Right upper arm circumference} \times 0.281) - 9.636$</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td>$(\text{BMI} \times 0.718) + (\text{Abdominal circumference} \times 0.130) - (\text{Chest circumference} \times 0.195) - (\text{Left lower arm circumference} \times 0.620) + (\text{Waist circumference} \times 0.232) + (\text{Right upper arm circumference} \times 0.284) - 9.815$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEE1: Standard error of estimation for the validation group; SEE2: Standard error of estimation for cross-validation in the active group; SEE3: Standard error of estimation for cross-validation in the sedentary group

### Discussion

The percentage of total body fat and regional fat in the active men was significantly lower compared to the sedentary men. In the present study, we generated predictive equations for total and regional body fat using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry as the reference method. The low standard error of estimation demonstrated the accuracy of the equations when applied to active men. Predictive body fat equations derived under fasted conditions from body mass, body mass index, circumferences, and skinfold thickness, may estimate the percentage of total and regional body fat in young Thai men who perform regular exercise.

Muscle mass and body fat mass influence and reflect physical performance (Drey et al., 2016; Guiraudou et al., 2015; Rodriguez, de la Rosa, Flores, Zuleta, & Briceno, 2012), with physical activity associated with body fat (da Silva et al., 2019; Scheers et al., 2013; Suminski, Patterson, Perkett, Heinrich, & Poston, 2019). Consistent with previous findings (Tarnus & Bourdon, 2006), we observed lower levels of body fat in the active compared to the sedentary group. In the present study, the percentage total and regional body fat predictive equations, which were developed from active participants, provided a lower standard error of estimation when applied to active men. This led to an underestimation of body fat when the equations were applied to the sedentary participants. In contrast to our findings, previous studies
practitioners who need to assess body composition. Visceral adipose tissue to be highly correlated with total body mass index, estimating body fat equations from skinfold thickness combined with body mass index. In comparison with body fat equations derived from skinfold thickness combined with circumference provided more precision and accuracy than those derived from circumference across different ages, ethnicities, and physical activity levels. Conclusions

The percentage of total body fat, leg fat, and arm fat in the active men was significantly lower compared to the sedentary men. Predictive body fat equations derived from skinfold thickness combined with circumference provided more precision and accuracy than those derived from circumference combined with body mass index. They may use our newly predicted fat equations to accurately estimate body fat mass in healthy active young Asian men who perform regular exercise. We developed a new predictive body fat equation, which could apply to active young men assessed in a fasted state. Our use of a validated and cross-validated method showed that whilst our equations could be accurately applied to young men who perform regular exercise, they were not suitable to be used with sedentary men. Therefore, the findings of this study emphasize the need to develop specific body fat equations to provide precise measurements of body fat across different ages, ethnicities, and physical activity levels.
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Оцінка загальної та регіональної жирової маси тіла фізично активних чоловіків — не є доцільною для чоловіків з гіподинамією
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Реферат. Стаття: 8 с., 3 табл., 41 джерело.

Мета дослідження. Достовірність прогностичних рівнянь для визначення вмісту жиру в організмі залишається незрозумілою через їх неналежне використання в різних когортах учасників дослідження та умовах. Метою цього дослідження була валідація та застосування методу перехресного затвердження (також відомого як перехресна валідація) рівнянь для прогностування загального та регіонального вмісту жиру в організмі молодих фізично активних чоловіків.

Матеріали та методи. У дослідженні взяли участь трьох молодих чоловіків, які були розподілені на такі групи: активна валідація (n = 165), перехресна валідація з високою фізичною активністю (n = 70) або перехресна валідація з низькою фізичною активністю (n = 70). Дослідження було проведено із застосуванням стратифікованої випадкової вибірки, що базувалась на щотижневому рівні фізичної активності. Загальна та регіональна жирова маса тіла вимірювалась за допомогою методу двоенергетичної рентгенівської абсорбціометрії (ДРА) після нічного голодування. Також проведено одночасні вимірювання показників зросту, маси тіла, товщиної шкірної складки, індексу маси тіла та окружності тіла. Проґностичні рівняння загального та регіонального вмісту жиру в організмі були отримані шляхом використання моделей множинної лінійної ступеневої регресії. За метою перевірки точності прогностичних рівнянь було розраховано коефіцієнт детермінації (R²) і стандартна похибка оцінки (SEE). Крім того, проаналізовано групи перехресного затвердження.

Результати. Встановлено, що відсоток жирової маси тіла, жирових відкладень на тулубі, ногах, руках та індекс маси тіла в групі перехресної валідації з високою фізичною активністю був значно нижчим, ніж в групі перехресної валідації з низькою фізичною активністю (t = 0,68-0,74, p < 0,001). Індекс маси тіла (t = 0,55, p < 0,001) та товщина шкірної складки над клубовою ділянкою (t = 0,67-0,71, p < 0,001) в групі активної валідації. Прогностичні рівняння загального та регіонального вмісту жирової маси тіла були тісно пов’язаними з товщиною шкірної складки черевної порожнини (r = 0,68-0,74, p < 0,001), індексом маси тіла (r = 0,55, p < 0,001) та товщиною шкірної складки над клубовою ділянкою (r = 0,67-0,71, p < 0,001) в групі активної валідації. Прогностичні рівняння загального та регіонального вмісту жирової маси тіла, ніж у групі перехресної валідації з низькою фізичною активністю.

Висновки. Результати дослідження демонструють, що нові прогностичні рівняння загального та регіонального жирової маси тіла можна використовувати для визначення точної оцінки жирової маси тіла у здорових молодих активних чоловіків у умовах підвищеної фізичної активності.

Ключові слова: чоловіки з низькою фізичною активністю, молоді чоловіки, композиція тіла, товщина шкірної складки, окружність.
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