The study objective is to determine the structure of coordination abilities development in 5th-7th grade girls.

Materials and methods. The participants in the study were 5th grade girls (n = 20), 6th grade girls (n = 23), 7th grade girls (n = 19). The study used the following methods: analysis and collation of scientific and methodological literature, general scientific methods of theoretical level, such as analogy, analysis, synthesis, abstraction, induction, as well as general scientific methods of empirical level: observation, testing, experiment. To evaluate motor preparedness, the study recorded the results of motor tests, body height and weight. The IBM SPSS 20 statistical analysis software was used to process the study materials. A factor analysis was performed, for which the study used principal component analysis with the rotation method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Results. The analysis of similarities shows that the most informative tests in the structure of motor preparedness of the 5th grade girls are the following: test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.884), test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” (.826), test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting” (.824); of the 6th grade girls — test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.884), test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” (.826), test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting” (.824); of the 7th grade girls — test 8 “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)” (.902), test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.900), test 1 “30 m running (s)” (.869).

Conclusions. In the structure of coordination abilities of the 5th-7th grade girls, the most informative components are the sense and differentiation of running speed, vestibular stability in exercises that require static and dynamic equilibrium. To carry out pedagogical control of coordination preparedness of 5th-7th grade girls, the study recommends using the following tests: test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns”, test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method”, test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting”.
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on the importance of movement coordination development in physical education of schoolchildren (Nosko, Kryvenko, & Manievych, 2001; Ivashchenko, 2017; Lopatiev, Ivashchenko, Khudolii, Pjanylo, Chernenko, & Yermakova, 2017);

• on the relationship between anthropometric, motor and cognitive abilities in children (Drid, Vujkov, Jaksic, Trivic, Marinkovic, & Bala, 2013; Iadreev, Cherkašin, Vujkov, & Drid, 2015; Novak, Podnar, Emeljanovas, & Marttinen, 2015);


Coordination abilities are of key importance in children’s and adolescents’ motor preparedness (Nosko, & Sumak, 2000; Serhiienko, Chekmarova, & Khadzhynov, 2012; Khudolii, 2008). Research has found that it is effective to use multidimensional methods of mathematical statistics when studying the structure of motor preparedness of schoolchildren (Ivashchenko, Khudolii, & Miroshnichenko, 2016; Ivashchenko, Khudolii, Iermakov, Lochbaum, Cieslicka, Zukow, Nosko, & Yermakova, 2016; Khudolii, Iermakov, & Prusik, 2015).

Previously published works focused on clarifying the concept of coordination abilities (Liakh, V. I., 2000; Ilyin, 2003; Nosko, 2001), as well as on determining their structure (Ivashchenko, Khudolii, & Miroshnichenko, 2016; Ivashchenko, Khudolii, Iermakov, Lochbaum, Cieslicka, Zukow, Nosko, & Yermakova, 2016; Khudolii, Iermakov, & Prusik, 2015).

The study objective is to determine the structure of coordination abilities development in 5th-7th grade girls.

Materials and methods

Study participants. The participants in the study were 5th (n = 20), 6th (n = 23), 7th (n = 19) grade girls.

Study organization. The study used the following methods: analysis and collation of scientific and methodological literature, general scientific methods of theoretical level, such as analogy, analysis, synthesis, abstraction, induction, as well as general scientific methods of empirical level: observation, testing, experiment.

Testing procedure. The testing program included well-known tests (Liakh, 2000; Serhiienko, 2001; Ivashchenko, 2016). To evaluate motor preparedness, the study recorded the results of motor tests, body height and weight:

Test 1 “30 m running (s)”: 
Equipment required. Stopwatch, 30-meter-long distance having start/finish lines.

Testing procedure. At the “On Your Mark” command, the test participant takes up a crouch start position at the starting line. At the “Get Set” command, the participant rises, on “Go” she begins to run to the finish line.

Result. Time measured with an accuracy of 0.1 seconds.

Comments
1. Starting and distance covered signals are given by an assistant starter.
2. One attempt is made.

Test 2 “Standing long jump (cm)”: 
Equipment required. Non-slip surface with a line marked in centimeters.

Testing procedure. The test participant toes the line, swings her arms backward, then abruptly swings them forward and jumps off from both legs as far forward as possible.

Result. The longest distance jumped in centimeters, the best of two attempts.

Comments
The test should be carried out in accordance with the rules for the standing long jump. Jump-off and landing points should be at the same level.

Test 3 “Six standing accuracy ball handlings to a partner from a 7 m distance using one of the techniques learned”:

Test 4 “Pull-ups (number of times)”: 
Equipment required. Horizontal bar having a diameter of 2-3 cm.

Testing procedure. The test participant grabs the bar with an overhand grip, arms straight and shoulder-width apart, her feet not touching the floor. At the “Go” command, she bends her arms, chin above the bar. Then the participant fully extends her arms, lowering to hang.

Result. Number of flawless pull-ups.

Test 5 “Sit-ups in 30 seconds”: 
Equipment required. Stopwatch, gym mats.

Testing procedure. The test participant lies down on her back on a gym mat with her knees bent at a 90-degree angle, with her feet flat on the floor. The distance between the feet is 30 cm, fingers crossed behind the head. The partner holds her feet. After the “Go” command, the participant sits up, touching elbows to knees, and returns to the starting position. The participant repeats the exercise for 30 seconds at a maximum frequency.

Result. Number of sit-ups from a lying to a sitting position in 30 seconds.
Test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting”:

*Equipment required.* 70-80-meter-long running track, stopwatch.

*Testing procedure.* At first, the pupil runs 60 m at a speed that is convenient for her. The pupil is informed about the measured time. Then, during the two following attempts, the pupil is asked to reproduce the time of the first race as accurately as possible.

*Result.* Time measured with an accuracy of 0.1 seconds, sprinting 60 m in three races.

*Comments*
1. After the second attempt, the pupil is not informed about the measured time.
2. The result is evaluated by taking the average deviation of the second and third attempts from the result of the first one.

Test 7 “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum)”:

*Equipment required.* 50-meter-long running track; stopwatch, flag, calculator.

*Testing procedure.* The pupil is asked to run 30 m for a maximum result using a flying start. At the second attempt, the pupil runs 30 m at 80% intensity of maximum.

*Result.* Determining the reproduction precision of the target time with an accuracy of 0.01 seconds.

*Comments*
1. Two best tries are given. The best result is recorded.
2. The pupil is not informed about the results of the first best try.
3. The test evaluates the reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum.

Test 8 “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)”:

*Equipment required.* 50-meter-long running track; stopwatch, flag, calculator.

*Testing procedure.* The pupil is asked to run 30 m for a maximum result using a flying start. At the second attempt, the pupil runs 30 m at 90% intensity of maximum.

*Result.* Determining the reproduction precision of the target time with an accuracy of 0.01 seconds.

*Comments*
1. Two best tries are given. The best result is recorded.
2. The pupil is not informed about the results of the first best try.
3. The test evaluates the reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum.

Test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method”:

*Equipment required.* Stopwatch.

*Testing procedure.* The test participant stands steadily on one leg. The other leg is bent, its foot touching the knee joint of the support leg, hands on hips, head straight. The participant should hold the position for as long as possible. Time measuring with the stopwatch begins after the participant has taken up a steady body position, and ends at the moment when she loses equilibrium (lowering her leg and arms).

*Result.* Determining the time of holding a static position.

*Comments*
1. The test can be performed with closed and open eyes.
2. Slight movements of the body are not considered a loss of equilibrium.
3. Time should be measured with an accuracy of 0.1 seconds.
4. Static position can be held on the right or left foot. After three attempts, the best result is recorded.

Test 10 “Evaluation of dynamic equilibrium by the BESS method”:

The test is recommended for use with middle school-aged children (Serhiienko, 2001).

*Equipment required.* Eleven circles should be drawn with chalk on the gym floor. The diameter of each circle is 22 cm, The distance from circle X to circle 1 is 46 cm. The distance between the other circles is 84 cm.

*Testing procedure.* The test participant jumps across all the circles: from circle X to circle 10. In circle X, she returns to the starting position, which is standing on the right toes. Upon command, the pupil jumps into the first circle, landing on her left toes, and holds this position for 5 seconds. She lands on her right toes as she jumps into the second circle and holds this position for 5 seconds, and so to circle 10.

*Result.* Covering the distance in 50 seconds.

*Comments.*
1. Errors:
   - touching the floor with the heel;
   - stepping over the circle line;
   - touching the floor with the hand or other foot;
   - unstable position — hopping or twisting on the support leg while holding the position in the circle.
2. Preliminary attempts are allowed before performing the test.
3. The pupil is given two best tries. The best result is recorded.

Test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns”:

*Equipment required.* Running track having a length of 25 m and width of 150 cm. Stopwatch.
Testing procedure. From a standing start, the pupil runs 25 m at a maximum speed. Then she runs the distance of 25 m with five turns.

Result. Determining the difference between the ordinary running time and running with turns time. Recording the number of exits from the lane while running.

Comments.
1. The pupil makes one attempt of ordinary running and one attempt of running with turns.
2. Turns can be performed in any direction.
3. Series of turns are not allowed.

Evaluation. The result is considered excellent if the time has increased by no more than 3 seconds and there has not been a single exit from the running lane.

Test 12 “Rhythmic hand tapping”:

Equipment required. Table; two chairs; stopwatch.

Testing procedure. The pupil sits down on the chair, her palms on the table. A testologist sits in a similar position next to her. He/she explains and shows the participant how to move her hands in a certain rhythm. The participant is asked to repeat the following movements: to lightly tap on the table twice with her right palm. After performing the cross-movement of the hands, the participant should tap on the table twice with her left palm. After this, the right hand touches the forehead and returns to the starting position. The task of the participant is to master the given cycle of movements and to rhythmically perform as many cycles as possible in 20 seconds.

Result. Number of full cycles of movements performed in 20 seconds.

Comments
To properly master the cycle of rhythmic movements, the pupil is given 10 seconds.

Test 13 “Rhythmic movements of upper and lower limbs”:

Equipment required. Stopwatch.

Testing procedure. The test participant stands in an empty corner of the gym, face to the wall, so that her extended arms and legs could touch the walls. At the “Go” command, the participant performs a rhythmic cycle of movements as fast as possible within 20 seconds. The cycle of movements consists of four phases:
1. Two light kicks on the left side of the corner with the left foot.
2. One kick on the right side of the corner with the right palm.
3. Two kicks on the left side of the corner with the left palm.
4. One light kick on the right side of the corner with the right foot.

Result. Number of correctly performed full cycles of rhythmic movements within 20 seconds.

Comments
1. To master the consecutive phases of the movement cycle, the participant is given 10 seconds.
2. Incorrect sequence of the cycle phases should not be included in the final calculation.

Test 14 “Shuttle run (4×9 m)”:

Equipment required. Stopwatches, 9-meter-long smooth running track limited by two parallel lines, behind each line — two semi-circles, each with a radius of 50 centimeters centered on the line, two wooden blocks (5×5 centimeters).

Testing procedure. At the “On Your Mark” command, the participant takes up a starting position behind the starting line. At the “Go” command, she runs 9 meters to the opposite line, takes one of the two wooden blocks lying in the circle, runs back and puts it into the starting circle. Then she runs for the second block, takes it, runs back and puts it into the starting circle.

Result. Time from the start to the moment when the participant puts the second block into the starting circle. The participant’s result is determined by the best of two attempts.

Comments
1. The block should be put into the semi-circle, if it is thrown, the attempt is not scored.
2. The running track should be smooth and non-slip.

Test 15 “Tossing a ring over a peg”:

Equipment required. Sports ground or a gym with floor markings. Measuring tape. 10-cm-high peg. Rubber ring with a diameter of 20 cm.

Testing procedure. The test participant tosses the ring over the peg from the distance as follows:
- from 1 m — 5 attempts;
- from 2 m — 5 attempts;
- from 3 m — 5 attempts;
- from 4 m — 5 attempts;
- from 5 m — 5 attempts.

The result should be determined by the total number of hits from all the distances.

Comments
The participant makes two attempts of the full test, starting in a straddle stand, right leg in front on the starting line. Her trunk should not lean forward. A hit is scored if the ring stays on the peg when it lands. The best result is to be taken for evaluation.
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The study carried out a pedagogical testing in order to determine the structure of coordination abilities of the 5th-6th grade girls.

Statistical analysis. The IBM SPSS 20 statistical analysis software was used to process the study materials. A factor analysis was performed, for
which the study used principal component analysis with the rotation method: Varimix with Kaiser Normalization.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of H. S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University. In addition, the children and their parents or legal guardians were fully informed about all the features of the study, and a signed informed-consent document was obtained from all the parents.

**Study results**

Tables 1-3 show the results of the factor analysis. By analyzing the results of the 5th grade girls, the study identified seven factors explaining 80.708% of the dispersion (tabl. 1).

### Table 1. Factor structure of motor preparedness of the 5th grade girls. Method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimix with Kaiser Normalization (n = 20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>h2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 m running (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standing long jump (cm)</td>
<td>.733</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Six standing accuracy ball handlings to a partner from a 7 m distance using one of the techniques learned</td>
<td>-.387</td>
<td></td>
<td>.588</td>
<td>-.574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pull-ups (number of times)</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sit-ups in 30 seconds</td>
<td>.783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting</td>
<td>.529</td>
<td>-.535</td>
<td></td>
<td>.360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td>.650</td>
<td></td>
<td>.386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td>.321</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td>-.309</td>
<td></td>
<td>.606</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky's method</td>
<td>-.468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Evaluation of dynamic equilibrium by the BESS method</td>
<td>.680</td>
<td>-.323</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns</td>
<td>-.896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rhythmic hand tapping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rhythmic movements of upper and lower limbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Shuttle run (4x9 m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tossing a ring over a peg</td>
<td>.452</td>
<td>.673</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>.364</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.329</td>
<td></td>
<td>.700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% dispersion 15.057 13.950 11.850 10.744 10.630 10.532 7.945 80.708
The first factor has an informative value of 15.057% and characterizes the development of vestibular statokinetic stability and relative strength.

The second factor has an informative value of 13.950% and characterizes speed strength, the development of static equilibrium and differentiation of movement speed.

The third factor has an informative value of 11.850% and characterizes movement coordination in reproducing motor rhythm.

The fourth factor has an informative value of 10.744% and characterizes movement coordination of different parts of the body.

The fifth factor has an informative value of 10.630% and characterizes the girls' anthropometric data.

The sixth factor has an informative value of 10.532% and characterizes the girls' strength endurance.

The seventh factor has an informative value of 7.945% and characterizes dexterity.

The analysis of similarities shows that the most informative tests in the structure of motor preparedness

---

**Table 2. Factor structure of motor preparedness of the 6th grade girls. Method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization (n = 23)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Component 1</th>
<th>Component 2</th>
<th>Component 3</th>
<th>Component 4</th>
<th>Component 5</th>
<th>Component 6</th>
<th>h²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 m running (s)</td>
<td>.792</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standing long jump (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Six standing accuracy ball handlings to a partner from a 7 m distance using one of the techniques learned</td>
<td>.708</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.348</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pull-ups (number of times)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.796</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sit-ups in 30 seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.857</td>
<td></td>
<td>.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.353</td>
<td>.733</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td>.464</td>
<td>.487</td>
<td>-.388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky's method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Evaluation of dynamic equilibrium by the BESS method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns</td>
<td>.377</td>
<td>-.331</td>
<td>-.606</td>
<td>.458</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rhythmic hand tapping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rhythmic movements of upper and lower limbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.645</td>
<td>.303</td>
<td>.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Shuttle run (4x9 m)</td>
<td>-.415</td>
<td>-.411</td>
<td>.462</td>
<td></td>
<td>.315</td>
<td></td>
<td>.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tossing a ring over a peg</td>
<td>-.371</td>
<td>-.513</td>
<td>.331</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>.842</td>
<td>.318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the 5th grade girls are the following: test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.884), test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” (.826), test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting” (.824).

By analyzing the results of the 6th grade girls, the study identified six factors explaining 71.344% of the dispersion (tabl. 2).

The first factor has an informative value of 16.582% and characterizes anthropometric data and the development of dynamic equilibrium.

The second factor has an informative value of 13.975% and characterizes the development of dexterity and movement coordination.

The third factor has an informative value of 12.199% and characterizes the development of speed strength and movement coordination of different parts of the body.

The fourth factor has an informative value of 10.944% and characterizes endurance and the sense of movement speed in sprinting.

The fifth factor has an informative value of 9.470% and characterizes the development of relative strength.

The sixth factor has an informative value of 8.173% and characterizes the development of static equilibrium.

The analysis of similarities shows that the most informative tests in the structure of motor preparedness of the 6th grade girls are the following: test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.884), test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” (.826), test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting” (.824).

By analyzing the results of the 7th grade girls, the study identified seven factors explaining 80.339% of the dispersion (tabl. 3).

The first factor has an informative value of 15.206% and characterizes the development of dynamic equilibrium and dexterity in the girls.

The second factor has an informative value of 13.424% and characterizes the ability to differentiate movement speed.

The third factor has an informative value of 12.025% and characterizes the development of speed strength and the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability.

The fourth factor has an informative value of 11.477% and characterizes the development of movement coordination.

The fifth factor has an informative value of 9.825% and characterizes the development of motor rhythm.

The sixth factor has an informative value of 9.599% and characterizes the sense of movement speed in sprinting.

The seventh factor has an informative value of 8.784% and characterizes the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability.

The analysis of similarities shows that the most informative tests in the structure of motor preparedness of the 7th grade girls are the following: test 8 “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)” (.902), test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns” (.900), test 1 “30 m running (s)” (.869).

Thus, the structure of motor preparedness of the 5th-7th grade girls include:

- sense of running speed;
- differentiation of running speed;
- vestibular stability in exercises that require static and dynamic equilibrium;
- speed and relative strength.

**Discussion**

The obtained data supplement the results of the study on the dependence of coordination abilities development on individual characteristics, sensitive periods (Serhiienko, 2001; Liakh, 2000); age and gender-related differences of schoolchildren (Ilyin, 2003; Ivashchenko, 2016).


In previous works (Khudolii, Prykhodko, & Ivashchenko, 2017; Prykhodko, 2017), the researchers performed a comparative analysis of coordination abilities indicators of 5th-7th graders, which showed a positive dynamics in the results of 5th-7th grade girls in the following tests: “Standing long jump (cm)” (13.4%); “Pull-ups (number of times)” (94.3%); “Sit-ups in 30 seconds” (23.6%); “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)” (17.9%); “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” (41.1%); “Height (cm)” (9.9%); “Body weight (kg)” (29.1%). Middle school age is sensitive to the development of coordination abilities. There is an increase in the results of the following tests: “Pull-ups (number of times)” by 53.8%, “Sit-ups in
Table 3. Factor structure of motor preparedness of the 7th grade girls. Method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization (n = 19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Component 1</th>
<th>Component 2</th>
<th>Component 3</th>
<th>Component 4</th>
<th>Component 5</th>
<th>Component 6</th>
<th>Component 7</th>
<th>h2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 m running (s)</td>
<td>-.773</td>
<td>.869</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standing long jump (cm)</td>
<td>.498</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>.356</td>
<td>.823</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Six standing accuracy ball handlings to a partner from a 7 m distance using one of the techniques learned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pull-ups (number of times)</td>
<td>.488</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td>.393</td>
<td>.439</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sit-ups in 30 seconds</td>
<td>.549</td>
<td>.308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting</td>
<td>-.358</td>
<td>-.364</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td>.750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 90% intensity of maximum)</td>
<td>-.353</td>
<td>-.493</td>
<td>.523</td>
<td>.492</td>
<td>.902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method</td>
<td>-.443</td>
<td>.326</td>
<td>.360</td>
<td>.468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Evaluation of dynamic equilibrium by the BESS method</td>
<td>-.774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns</td>
<td>.592</td>
<td>-.406</td>
<td>-.525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rhythmic hand tapping</td>
<td>-.383</td>
<td>-.649</td>
<td>.341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rhythmic movements of upper and lower limbs</td>
<td>-.377</td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Shuttle run (4x9 m)</td>
<td>.583</td>
<td>-.354</td>
<td>.320</td>
<td>-.426</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tossing a ring over a peg</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td>-.482</td>
<td>-.450</td>
<td>-.307</td>
<td>.411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>.564</td>
<td>.611</td>
<td>-.353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>.615</td>
<td>.404</td>
<td>.345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


30 seconds” by 23.6%, “Height (cm)” by 4.1% (5th-6th grades); “Standing long jump (cm)” by 10%; “Pull-ups (number of times)” by 28.7%; “Evaluation of the ability to differentiate movement speed (reproduction accuracy of running speed at 80% intensity of maximum)” by 23.3%; “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method” by 27.2%; “Height (cm)” by 5.5%; “Body weight (kg)” by 17.2% (6th-7th grades).

It was previously found that the most informative component in the structure of coordination abilities of 5th-7th grade boys is vestibular stability. To carry out pedagogical control of motor preparedness of 5th-7th grade boys, it is advisable to use the following tests: test
2 “Standing long jump (cm), test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method”, test 10 “Evaluation of dynamic equilibrium by the BESS method” (Prykhodko, 2017). As opposed to boys, the most informative components in the structure of coordination abilities of 5th-7th grade girls are the sense and differentiation of running speed, vestibular stability in exercises that require static and dynamic equilibrium.

The obtained data of factor analysis show that it is necessary to focus on the development of the sense and differentiation of running speed, speed strength and vestibular stability in 5th-7th grade girls.

The above mentioned data supplement the results of the study on the peculiarities of motor preparedness of boys (Ivashchenko, Muszkieta, Khudolii, & Iermakov, 2014; Ivashchenko, Cieslicka, Khudolii, & Iermakov, 2014; Ivashchenko, & Shepelenko, 2014) and girls of middle school age (Ivashchenko, Pashkevych, & Krinin, 2014; Ivashchenko, & Makarova, 2013).

Conclusions

In the structure of coordination abilities of the 5th-7th grade girls, the most informative components are the sense and differentiation of running speed, vestibular stability in exercises that require static and dynamic equilibrium.

To carry out pedagogical control of coordination preparedness of 5th-7th grade girls, the study recommends using the following tests: test 11 “Evaluation of the ability for vestibular (statokinetic) stability. Running with turns”, test 9 “Static equilibrium evaluation by E. Ya. Bondarevsky’s method”, test 6 “Evaluation of the sense of movement speed in sprinting”. The further exploration prospect is to study methodological approaches to pedagogical control in teaching physical exercises to middle school students.
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КООРДИНАЦІЯ РУХІВ: ФАКТОРНА СТРУКТУРА РОЗВИТКУ У ДІВЧАТ 5-7 КЛАСІВ
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Мета роботи – визначити структуру розвитку координаційних здібностей у дівчат 5-7 класів.

Матеріал і методи. У дослідженні прийняли участь дівчата 5 класу (n=20), 6 класу (n=23), 7 класу (n=19). У роботі використані аналіз і узагальнення даних наукової та методичної літератури, загальнонаукові методи теоретичного рівня, такі, як аналіз, синтез, абстрагування, індукція, а також загальнонаукові методи емпіричного рівня: спостереження, тестування, експеримент. Для оцінки рухової підготовленості реєстрували результати рухових тестів, зріст і маса тіла. Матеріали дослідження опрацьовані в програмі статистичного аналізу – IBM SPSS 20. Здійснений факторний аналіз. У факторному аналізі використана модель головних компонент з методом обертання: Варімакс з нормалізацією Кайзера.

Результати. Аналіз спільностей показує, що найбільш інформативними у структурі рухової підготовленості дівчат 5 класів є тест 11 “Оцінка здібності до вестибулярної (статокінетичної) стійкості. Біг з поворотами” (0,884), тест 9 “Оцінка статичної рівноваги за методикою Е.Я. Бондаревського” (0,826), тест 6 “Оцінка відчуття швидкості рухів в спринтерському бігу “ (0,824); дівчат 6 класів є тест 7 класів є тест 8 “Оцінка розвитку здібності до диференціювання швидкості рухів, (точність відтворення швидкості бігу з інтенсивністю 90% від максимальної)” (0,902), тест 11 “Оцінка здібності до вестибулярної (статокінетичної) стійкості. Біг з поворотами” (0,900), тест 1 “Біг 30 м (c)” (0,869).

Висновки. У структурі координаційних здібностей дівчат 5-7 класів найбільш інформативним є відчуття і диференціювання швидкості бігу, вестибулярна стійкість у вправах які вимагають статичної і динамічної рівноваги. Для педагогічного контроля координаційної підготовленості дівчат 5-7 класів можуть бути рекомендовані: тест 11 “Оцінка здібності до вестибулярної (статокінетичної) стійкості. Біг з поворотами”, тест 9 “Оцінка статичної рівноваги за методикою Е.Я. Бондаревського”, тест 6 “Оцінка відчуття швидкості рухів в спринтерському бігу “.

Ключові слова: дівчата; координаційні здібності; рухова підготовленість.
Цель работы – определить структуру развития координационных способностей у девочек 5-7 классов.

Материал и методы. В исследовании приняли участие девочки 5 класса (n=20), 6 класса (n=23), 7 класса (n=19). В работе использованы анализ и обобщение данных научной и методической литературы, общенаучные методы теоретического уровня, такие, как аналогия, анализ, синтез, абстрагирование, индукция, а также общенаучные методы эмпирического уровня: наблюдение, тестирование, эксперимент. Для оценки двигательной подготовленности регистрировались результаты двигательных тестов, рост и масса тела. Материалы исследования обработаны в программе статистического анализа – IBM SPSS 20. Проведенный факторный анализ. В факторном анализе использована модель главных компонент с методом вращения: варимакс с нормализацией Кайзера.

Результаты. Анализ общностей показывает, что наиболее информативными в структуре двигательной подготовленности девочек 5 классов является тест 11 «Оценка способности к вестибулярной (статоткинетического) устойчивости. Бег с поворотами» (0,884), тест 9 «Оценка статического равновесия по методике Е.Я. Бондаревского» (0,826), тест 6 «Оценка ощущение скорости движений в спринтерском беге» (0,824); девочек 6 классов – тест 11 «Оценка способности к вестибулярной (статооткинетического) устойчивости. Бег с поворотами» (0,884), тест 9 «Оценка статического равновесия по методике Е.Я. Бондаревского» (0,826), тест 6 «Оценка ощущение скорости движений в спринтерском беге» (0,824); девочек 6 классов – тест 8 «Оценка развития способности к дифференцировке скорости движений (точность воспроизведения скорости бега с интенсивностью 90% от максимальной)» (0,902), тест 11 «Оценка способности к вестибулярной (статоткинетического) устойчивости. Бег с поворотами» (0,901), тест 1 «Бег 30 м (с)» (0,869).

Выводы. В структуре координационных способностей девочек 5-7 классов наиболее информативным является ощущение и дифференцировка скорости бега, вестибулярная устойчивость в упражнениях, которые требуют статического и динамического равновесия. Для педагогического контроля координационной подготовленности девочек 5-7 классов могут быть рекомендованы: тест 11 «Оценка способности к вестибулярной (статоткинетического) устойчивости. Бег с поворотами»; тест 9 «Оценка статического равновесия по методике Е.Я. Бондаревского»; тест 6 «Оценка ощущение скорости движений в спринтерском беге».

Ключевые слова: девочки; координационные способности; двигательная подготовленность.